

1 MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 65504  
2 ALEXANDER NESTOR, State Bar No. 202795  
3 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP  
2 Palo Alto Square  
3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2212  
4 Tel: 650.843.4000  
Fax: 650.843.4001

5 Attorneys for Defendant  
6 Cisco Systems, Inc.

7 FRANK E. MAYO, State Bar No. 42972  
8 LAW OFFICES OF FRANK E. MAYO  
480 San Antonio Road, Suite 230  
9 Mountain View, CA 94040  
Tel: 650.964.8901  
10 Fax: 650.964.7293

11 Attorney for Plaintiff  
12 Bibi Bahari

13  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16

17 BIBI BAHARI,  
18 Plaintiff,  
19 vs.  
20 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.  
21 Defendant.

Case No.: C-05-00248 PJH

**PARTIES' STIPULATION UNDER CIVIL  
LOCAL RULES 3-2 AND 7-12  
REQUESTING ORDER TRANSFERRING  
ACTION TO SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**(Civil Local Rules 3-2 and 7-12)**

1           WHEREAS, Plaintiff Bibi Bahari (“Plaintiff”) worked for Defendant Cisco Systems, Inc.  
 2 (“Defendant”) at its San Jose facility, which is located in Santa Clara County;

3           WHEREAS, Plaintiff’s allegations in this lawsuit arise from her employment with  
 4 Defendant and/or termination of employment therefrom;

5           WHEREAS, all acts and/or events giving rise to, or related to, the claims set forth in  
 6 Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint took place, occurred and/or arose in Santa Clara County;

7           WHEREAS, both Defendant and all of the relevant witnesses are located in San Jose, it  
 8 would be more convenient for the parties and witnesses if the case was reassigned to the San Jose  
 9 Division;

10          WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed this action on January 18, 2005, in the Northern District of  
 11 California;

12          WHEREAS, Plaintiff retained new counsel on March 4, 2005, and filed her First  
 13 Amended Complaint on March 8, 2005;

14          WHEREAS, the case was originally assigned to Magistrate Judge James Larson in the San  
 15 Francisco Division;

16          WHEREAS, On May 5, 2005, Defendant filed a Declination to Proceed Before a  
 17 Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment to a United States District Judge form;

18          WHEREAS, subsequently, the action was reassigned to the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton  
 19 in the San Francisco Division, where it is currently venued;

20          WHEREAS, according to Civil Local Rule 3-2(e), “all civil actions which arise in the  
 21 count[y] of Santa Clara . . . shall be assigned to the San Jose Division.” “[A] civil action arises in  
 22 the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim  
 23 occurred . . .” Civil Local Rule 3-2(c) [emphasis added];

24          THEREFORE, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and request  
 25 an order from the Court transferring this action to the San Jose Division pursuant to Civil Local  
 26 Rule 3-2.

1 Dated: May 27, 2005

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2 By \_\_\_\_\_  
3 Alexander Nestor  
4 Attorneys for Defendant  
5 Cisco Systems, Inc.

6 Dated: May \_\_\_, 2005

LAW OFFICES OF FRANK E. MAYO

7  
8 By \_\_\_\_\_  
9 Frank E. Mayo  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 Bibi Bahari

12  
13 **ORDER**

14 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, the Court finds that the proper venue for this  
15 action is the San Jose Division of the Northern District of California. Consequently, IT IS  
16 ORDERED that the action is hereby transferred to the San Jose Division of the Northern District  
17 of California.

18  
19 Dated: June 2, 2005

20 \_\_\_\_\_  
21 Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



05/30/2005 21:08 6509647293  
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 019 020 021 022 023 024 025 026 027 028  
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 019 020 021 022 023 024 025 026 027 028  
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016 017 018 019 020 021 022 023 024 025 026 027 028

FRANK\_MAYO,

PAGE 04

650 8434001 TO 15366#0581100088 P.04

1 Dated: May 27, 2005

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

2

3

4

5

6

Dated: May 31, 2005

By   
Alexander Nestor  
Attorneys for Defendant  
Cisco Systems, Inc.

7

8

9

10 Dated: May 31, 2005

LAW OFFICES OF FRANK E. MAYO  
By   
Frank E. Mayo  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
Bibi Bahari

11

12

13

ORDER

14 PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, the Court finds that the proper venue for this  
15 action is the San Jose Division of the Northern District of California. Consequently, IT IS  
16 ORDERED that the action is hereby transferred to the San Jose Division of the Northern District  
17 of California.

18

19 Dated: May 2005

20 Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MORGAN, LEWIS &  
BOCKIUS LLP  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
Palo Alto

I-PA/3545072.1

3 Case No.: C-05-00248 PJH  
PARTIES' STIPULATION UNDER CIVIL LOCAL RULES 3-2 AND 7-12 REQUESTING ORDER  
TRANSFERRING ACTION TO SAN JOSE DIVISION